

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION 2 **COMMISSIONERS** Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED 3 SUSAN BITTER SMITH - Chairman **BOB STUMP** 4 JAN 22 2015 **BOB BURNS** DOUG LITTLE 5 DOCKETED BY TOM FORESE 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. T-20888A-13-0273 7 THRESHOLD COMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A CERTIFICATE OF 74899 DECISION NO. CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE RESOLD LONG DISTANCE, RESOLD LOCAL 9 EXCHANGE, VOIP, AND PRIVATE LINE TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES IN 10 ARIZONA. **OPINION AND ORDER** 11 DATE OF HEARING: December 8, 2014 12 PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yvette B. Kinsey 14 **APPEARANCES:** Mr. Michael Patten, ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC, on behalf of the Applicant; and 15 Mr. Brian Smith, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on 16 behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission. 17 18 BY THE COMMISSION: 19 On August 9, 2013, Threshold Communications, Inc. ("Threshold" or the "Company") filed 20 with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for approval of a 21 Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide resold long distance, resold local 22 exchange, Voice over Internet Protocol ("VoIP"), and private line telecommunications services in the 23 State of Arizona. Threshold's application also requests a determination that its proposed services are 24 competitive in Arizona. 25 On June 3, 2014, Michael W. Patten filed a Notice of Appearance on behalf of Threshold. 26 On August 1, 2014, Threshold filed an amendment to its application. 27 On September 29, 2014, the Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a Staff Report recommending 28

approval of Threshold's application, subject to certain conditions.

2 3

> 4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

On October 10, 2014, by Procedural Order the hearing in this matter was set for December 8, 2014, and other procedural deadlines were established.

On November 5, 2014, Threshold filed its Notice of Filing of Affidavit of Publication, indicating that notice of the amended application and hearing date had been published on October 23, 2014, in the Arizona Republic, a newspaper of general circulation in Threshold's proposed service area.

On December 8, 2014, a full public hearing was held as scheduled before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") of the Commission. The Company and Staff appeared through counsel and presented testimony and evidence. No members of the public appeared to provide public comment on the application. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was taken under advisement pending submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Threshold is a privately held "S" corporation organized under the laws of Washington and authorized to transact business in Arizona.1
  - Threshold's principal offices are located in Richmond, Washington.<sup>2</sup> 2.
- 3. Threshold is a sole proprietorship owned by Jeff Matson, Chief Executive Officer for the Company.<sup>3</sup>
- 4. Threshold's amended application request authority to provide resold long distance, resold local exchange, VoIP, and private line telecommunication services in Arizona.
  - 5. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 6. Staff recommends approval of Threshold's amended application, subject to the following conditions:

Exhibit A-1, Attachment A-8.1.

| 1 2      | (a)   | Threshold comply with all Commission Rules, Orders and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications services;  |  |
|----------|---|---|--|
| 3        | (b)   | Threshold abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by the Commission for Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC ("CenturyLink") in Docket No. T-01051B-13-0199 (Decision No. 74208); <sup>4</sup>             |  |
| 5        | (c)   | Threshold be prohibited from barring access to alternative local exchange   |  |
| 6        |   | service providers who wish to serve areas where it is the only provider of local exchange service facilities;   |  |
| 7        | (d)   | Threshold notify the Commission immediately upon changes to Threshold's name, address or telephone number;  |  |
| 8        | (e)   | Threshold cooperate with Commission investigations including, but not limited to customer complaints;   |  |
| 10       | (f)   | The rates proposed by this filing are not for competitive services. In general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of return regulation.  |  |
| 11       |   | Staff obtained information from Threshold and has determined that its fair value rate base is zero. Staff has reviewed the rates to be charged by   |  |
| ا 2      |   | Threshold and believes they are just and reasonable as they are comparable to other competitive local carriers and local incumbent carriers offering service in   |  |
| 13       |   | Arizona and comparable to the rates Threshold charges in other jurisdictions. The rate to be ultimately charged by Threshold will be heavily influenced by the market. Therefore, while Staff considered the fair value rate base |  |
| 14<br>15 |   | information submitted by the company, the fair value information provided was not given substantial weight in this analysis;  |  |
| 16       | (g)   | Threshold offer Caller ID with the capability to toggle between blocking and unblocking the transmission of the telephone number at no charge;  |  |
| l7<br>l8 | (h)   | Threshold offer Last Call Return service that will not return calls to telephone numbers that have the privacy indicator activated; and   |  |
| 19       | (i)   | The Commission authorize Threshold to discount its rates and service charges to the marginal cost of providing the services. <sup>5</sup>   |  |
| 20<br>21 | 7. Staff further recommends that Threshold comply with the following items and            |   |  |
| 22       | Threshold fails to do so, that the Company's CC&N be null and void after due process:     |   |  |
| 23       | a.  | Threshold shall docket conforming tariffs pages for each service within its CC&N within 365 days from the date of an Order in this matter or 30 days  |  |
| 24       |   | prior to providing service, whichever comes first. The tariffs submitted shall coincide with the application;   |  |
| 25<br>26 | b.  | Threshold shall notify the Commission through a compliance filing within 30 days of the commencement of service to end-user customers; and  |  |
| 27<br>28 | <sup>4</sup> Staff refers to Decision (December 20, 1995). <sup>5</sup> Exhibit S-1 at 9. | n No. 74208; however, the standards Staff refers to were established in Decision No. 59421  |  |

DECISION NO. \_\_\_\_\_**74899** 

28 11 Id.

c. Threshold shall abide by the Commission-adopted rules that address Universal Service in Arizona. A.AC. R14-2-1204(A) indicates that all telecommunications service providers that interconnect into the public switched network shall provide funding for the Arizona Universal Service Fund ("AUSF"). Threshold will make the necessary monthly payments required by A.A.C. R14-2-1204(B).

# **Technical and Financial Capability**

- 8. Established in 2001, Threshold is currently approved to offer resold long distance and resold local exchange telecommunication services in eight states.<sup>7</sup>
- 9. Threshold has twelve employees and provides its proposed services only to corporations.<sup>8</sup> In Arizona, Threshold will be providing services to small and medium sized businesses.
- 10. Threshold's senior management team members possess an average of sixteen years in the telecommunication's industry.
- 11. If granted a CC&N in Arizona, Threshold intends to resell services provided by AT&T and CenturyLink.<sup>9</sup> Threshold also anticipates having four to five employees in Arizona that will provide technical, sales, and customer support in Arizona.<sup>10</sup>
- 12. Although Threshold will not have a customer support center in Arizona, Threshold will offer 24/7/365 customer support via a 1-800 number and will have the ability to dispatch technicans provided through its carriers like AT&T and CenturyLink.<sup>11</sup>
- 13. Staff believes Threshold has the technical capabilities to provide its proposed services in Arizona.
- 14. Threshold provided Staff with unaudited financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2013, showing total assets of \$1,132,788; total equity of \$698,296; and a net income of \$279,575.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Exhibit S-1 at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Threshold's application states the Company is authorized to provide resold local and long distance exchange services in California, Indiana, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Texas, Virginia, and Washington.

<sup>8</sup> Tr. at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Exhibit A-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Tr. at 8.

<sup>12</sup> Exhibit S-1 at 2.

## **Rates and Charges**

- 15. Staff believes Threshold's rates will be heavily influenced by the market. Staff states that Threshold will have to compete with other incumbent local interexchange carriers ("ILECs"), competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs") and interexchange carriers ("IXCs") to provide its local and long distance exchange and private line services in Arizona. Staff reviewed the rate comparison information of other CLECs and Staff believes the proposed rates provided by Threshold are comparable to the rates charged by other CLECs and ILECs providing services in Arizona. Based on the competitive environment that Threshold will be operating in, Staff believes the Company will not be able to exert any market power and that the competitive process should result in just and reasonable rates. <sup>14</sup>
- 16. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1109, the rates charged for each service Threshold proposes to provide may not be less than the Company's total service long-run incremental cost of providing that service.
- 17. Staff states that although it considered the Company's proposed fair value rate base, Staff did not give it much weight in its analysis because the Company's rates in Arizona will be heavily influenced by the market.

## **Local Exchange Carrier Specific Issues**

- 18. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1308(A) and federal laws and rules, Threshold will make number portability available to facilitate the ability of customers to switch between authorized local carriers within a given wire center without changing their telephone number and without impairment to quality, functionality, reliability or convenience of use.
- 19. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1204(A) all telecommunication service providers that interconnect to the public switched network shall provide funding for the AUSF. Threshold shall make payments to the AUSF described under A.A.C. R14-2-1204(B).
- 20. In Commission Decision No. 59421 (December 20, 1995), the Commission approved quality of service standards for CenturyLink which imposed penalties due to an unsatisfactory level

DECISION NO. 74899

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Exhibit S-1 at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Id. at 3.

of service. In this matter, Staff believes Threshold does not have a similar history of service quality problems, and therefore the penalties in that decision should not apply.

- 21. In the areas where the Company is the only local exchange service provider, Staff recommends that Threshold be prohibited from barring access to alternative local exchange service providers who wish to serve the area.
- 22. Threshold will provide all customers with 911 and E911 service where available, or will coordinate with ILECs, and emergency service providers to facilitate the service.
- 23. Pursuant to prior Commission Decisions, Threshold may offer customer local area signaling services such as Caller ID and Call Blocking, so long as the customer is able to block or unblock each individual call at no additional cost.
- 24. Threshold must offer Last Call Return service, which will not allow the return of calls to the telephone numbers that have the privacy indicator activated.

## **Complaint Information**

- 25. Threshold stated that none of its officers, directors, partners, nor managers have been or are currently involved in any formal or informal complaint proceeding before any state or federal regulatory agency, commission, administrative or law enforcement agency.
- 26. Threshold also states that none of its officers, directors, partners or managers have been involved in any civil or criminal investigations, or had judgments entered in any civil matter, or by any administrative or regulatory agency, or been convicted of any criminal acts within the last ten years.
- 27. Staff reported that no consumer complaints had been filed against Threshold in Arizona.
  - 28. Threshold is in good standing with the Commission's Corporation Division.
- 29. In 2009 the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") filed a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture ("NAL") against Threshold for its failure to submit an annual Customer Proprietary Network Information ("CPNI") compliance certificate signed by an officer of the Company. Threshold's witness testified that the CPNI was submitted to the FCC without the Chief

1 Executive Officer's signature, that the Company resubmitted the CPNI with the signature, and the Company requested a waiver of the penalty and the FCC granted the Company's waiver. 15 2

#### Competitive Analysis

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 30. Threshold's application requests that the Company's proposed services be classified as competitive in Arizona. Staff believes Threshold's proposed services should be classified as competitive because the Company will have to compete with IXCs, ILECs, and CLECs to gain a share of the market in which it intends to operate. Further, Staff believes that Threshold will not be able to exert any market power in its proposed service area.
- 31. Based on the above factors, Staff concludes that Threshold's proposed services should be classified as competitive in Arizona.
- 32. We find that Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted. We also find that Threshold's proposed services are competitive within Arizona.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Threshold is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Threshold and the subject matter of the application.
  - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. §40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a CC&N to provide competitive telecommunication services.
- 5. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Arizona Revised Statutes, it is in the public interest to grant Threshold's application to provide resold long distance, resold local exchange, VoIP and private line telecommunications services in Arizona.
- 6. Threshold is a fit and proper entity to receive a CC&N authorizing it to provide intrastate telecommunications services in Arizona, subject to Staff's recommendations as set forth herein.

<sup>15</sup> Tr. at 9-10.

74899 DECISION NO.

7. Threshold's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers. 8. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for Threshold to establish rates and charges that are not less than Threshold's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services approved herein. 9. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted. **ORDER** IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Threshold Communications, Inc. for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide resold long distance, resold local exchange, Voice over Internet Protocol and private line telecommunications services in Arizona, is hereby approved, subject to Staff's recommendations as more fully described in Findings of Fact Nos. 6 and 7. 

DECISION NO.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Threshold Communications, Inc. fails to comply with the Staff recommendations described in Findings Fact No. 7, the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity granted herein shall be considered null and void after due process.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

| BY ORDER OF TH | HE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.   |
|----------------|--|
| La bust.       | The Frese  |
| CHARMAN        | OMMISSIONER  |
| 21/2           | DBlot / Mut & Barrio   |
| COMMISSIONER   | COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER  |
|                | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix this |
|                | JODI JERICH JODI JERICH  |
|                | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR   |
| DISSENT        | <del></del>  |
|                |  |
| DISSENTYBK:ru  |  |
|                |  |

DECISION NO. \_\_\_\_**74899** 

| 1              | SERVICE LIST FOR:   | THRESHOLD COMMUNICATIONS, INC. |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------|
| 2              | DOCKET NO.:   | T-20888A-13-0273               |
| 3<br>4<br>5    | Michael Patten<br>Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC<br>One Arizona Center<br>400 E. Van Buren St 800<br>Phoenix, Arizona 85004<br>Attorney for Threshold Communications, Ir | nc.                            |
| 6<br>7<br>8    | Jeff Matson<br>Threshold Communications, Inc.<br>16541 Redmond Way # 245C<br>Redmond, Washington 98052  |                                |
| 9              | Matt Dean<br>12316 Hidden Forest Blvd<br>Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73142  |                                |
| 11<br>12       | Janice Alward, Chief Counsel<br>Legal Division<br>ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO<br>1200 West Washington Street<br>Phoenix, AZ 85007                                   | ON                             |
| 13<br>14<br>15 | Steven M. Olea, Director<br>Utilities Division<br>ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO<br>1200 West Washington Street<br>Phoenix, AZ 85007                                   | ON                             |
| 16             |   |                                |
| 17             |   |                                |
| 18             |   |                                |
| 19             |   |                                |
| 20             |   |                                |
| 21             |   |                                |
| 22             | ·   |                                |
| 23             |   |                                |
| 24             |   |                                |
| 25             |   |                                |
| 26             |   |                                |

DECISION NO. \_\_\_\_\_**74899** 

28